

---

**From:** Peake, Tom  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 24, 2013 4:12 PM  
**To:** Lee, Raymond; Rosnick, Reid  
**Cc:** Schultheisz, Daniel  
**Subject:** RE: revised Subpart W one pager  
**Attachments:** OP-OMB\_Revision of NESHAP Subpart W one pager April 2013.docx; OP-OMB one pager 40 cfr 190.docx

Using circular (elliptical?) reasoning, if its OK with all of us, then lets call it OK if Dan thinks its OK. Dan did note that in the title it has 40 CFR 250 instead of 61, so I think it would be useful for him to have the last look.  
Ray, the format for this is different than the format used for the 190 one pager. Can you confirm we are OK with either format?  
I have attached Reid's and Brian's files for Dan's benefit.  
Tom

---

**From:** Lee, Raymond  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 24, 2013 3:40 PM  
**To:** Rosnick, Reid; Peake, Tom  
**Subject:** RE: revised Subpart W one pager

It's OK with me!

---

**From:** Rosnick, Reid  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 24, 2013 2:41 PM  
**To:** Peake, Tom; Lee, Raymond  
**Subject:** RE: revised Subpart W one pager

Tom/Ray,

If it's OK with you, it's OK with me.

Reid

---

**From:** Peake, Tom  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 24, 2013 2:38 PM  
**To:** Lee, Raymond; Rosnick, Reid  
**Subject:** revised Subpart W one pager

Guys,  
I have revised Reid's OMB one pager on Subpart W. See if you can live with it, or make appropriate changes.  
Thanks.  
Tom